



APSF.ORG

NEWSLETTER

THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE ANESTHESIA PATIENT SAFETY FOUNDATION

CITATION: Bansal V, Statzer N, Shams D. The evolution of patient safety in regional anesthesia: a journey of progress. *APSF Newsletter*. 2025;3:108–110.

The Evolution of Patient Safety in Regional Anesthesia: A Journey of Progress

by Vikram Bansal, MD; Nicholas Statzer, MD; and Danial Shams, MD

INTRODUCTION

From its inception in the late 19th century to today, the field of regional anesthesia has undergone significant changes that have reduced risks and improved patient outcomes. This evolution has been shaped by innovations, clinical discoveries, and a culture of safety. We explore the milestones and advancements that have driven regional anesthesia to its current state, while also discussing ongoing challenges and potential future initiatives.

EARLY DAYS: THE BEGINNINGS OF REGIONAL ANESTHESIA

In 1884, Carl Koller discovered that cocaine was an effective local anesthetic for the eye, thus inaugurating the modern era of local anesthetics and the field of regional anesthesia.¹ While local anesthetics revolutionized surgery by enabling localized pain control, the practice of regional anesthesia was often complicated by unwanted side effects such as severe spinal headache, nerve injury, and local anesthetic toxicity.² The first regionalists were also surgeons, performing blocks and surgeries at the same time. Gaston Labat, a French surgeon, is credited as one of the founding fathers of regional anesthesia.³ In the absence of modern imaging or monitoring, surgeons (and later, anesthesia professionals) relied on surface anatomy landmarks to guide needle placement. This “blind technique” was highly operator-dependent, with outcomes varying widely based on the practitioner’s skill and experience. The potential for complications such as inadvertent vascular injections and excessive anesthetic dosing highlighted the need for a more precise and standardized approach.

THE ADVENT OF PROCEDURAL TIMEOUT AND SAFETY CHECKLISTS

Wrong-sided blocks are considered a “never event” but nonetheless still occur at a rate of 0.5–5.7 per 10,000 blocks performed.⁴ Procedural timeouts have become a cornerstone of preventing wrong-sided blocks. In 2014, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (ASRA) adopted a procedural timeout consisting of seven core components: patient identification, procedure and site verification, imaging and equipment preparation, local anesthetic verification, emergency pre-

paredness, team communication and alignment, and documentation.⁵

While simple, this intervention dramatically reduced the incidence of wrong-sided blocks in regional anesthesia. One study showed the reported incidence of wrong-sided blocks dropped by as much as 42% in Pennsylvania since the 2000s.⁴ With good communication and teamwork, the timeout ensures that all members of the care team are aligned and focused on patient safety.

FROM STIMMING TO SEEING: THE ADVANTAGES OF ULTRASOUND-GUIDANCE

Perhaps the most significant advancement in regional anesthesia has been the use of ultrasound. Introduced in the late 20th century and widely adopted in the 21st century, ultrasound has transformed the way anesthesia professionals approach nerve blocks.⁶ By providing real-time images of anatomical structures, ultrasound allows practitioners to directly visualize nerves, blood vessels, and surrounding tissues, ensuring precise needle placement and reducing the risk of many complications.⁶

Ultrasound guidance has also allowed anesthesia professionals to use smaller volumes of local anesthetic, thereby decreasing the risk of systemic toxicity. This, combined with the development of local anesthetic dosing guides and local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) treatment guidelines, has caused the incidence of LAST to fall from ~7.5–20 per 10,000 blocks to 0.8–8.7 per 10,000 blocks and the incidence of serious cardiac toxicity has fallen from 1 per 10,000 blocks to nearly zero over the last 30–40 years.^{7–9}

Ultrasound guidance has also indirectly enhanced patient safety by increasing the efficacy of nerve blocks. The ability to confirm the spread of local anesthetic in real time has decreased the rate of block failure, compared to peripheral nerve stimulation, while also reducing the time to perform blocks and their onset.¹⁰ Today, ultrasound is considered the gold standard for many regional anesthesia techniques and has become an essential tool for anesthesia professionals.

THE ROLE OF LIPID EMULSION IN LOCAL ANESTHETIC SYSTEMIC TOXICITY (LAST)

Local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) is a rare but serious complication of regional anesthesia, often resulting from inadvertent intravascular injection of local anesthetics. Historically, treatment options for LAST were limited, and outcomes were poor. The discovery that lipid emulsion infusion is an effective treatment for LAST (and its incorporation into resuscitation protocols for LAST) has dramatically improved survival rates.^{7,8}

SMARTPHONE APPS AND DIGITAL TOOLS

The digital age has brought an array of smartphone applications and online resources that enhance the safety and efficacy of regional anesthesia. These tools provide easy access to information on nerve block techniques, local anesthetic dosages, and anticoagulation guidelines. Many applications include ultrasound images, instructional videos, and even interactive decision-making algorithms, making them invaluable for both novice and experienced practitioners. Furthermore, these tools facilitate education, allowing anesthesia professionals to stay up to date on the guidelines and best practices. Examples include the ASRA Coags and Timeout applications.

WHAT REMAINS—AND WHY WE HAVEN’T SOLVED IT YET

Despite advances in technology, many complications in regional anesthesia remain. Wrong-sided blocks and failed blocks remain rare but significant concerns in regional anesthesia. While the procedural timeout has been instrumental in addressing wrong-sided blocks, these errors still occur. Multiple factors such as production pressure, poor communication, distractions, rushed/absent timeouts, absent site markings, and patient repositioning can contribute to the occurrence of this “never” event.^{4,11} Failed blocks can occur secondary to a multitude of factors (including anatomical variations, communication barriers, obesity or other anatomic factors, surgical factors, and proceduralist experience) and are a risk of regional anesthesia that will likely

See “Regional Anesthesia” Next Page

Wrong-sided Blocks and Failed Blocks Remain Rare but Significant Concerns in Regional Anesthesia

From “Regional Anesthesia,” Preceding Page

never be fully eliminated.^{12,13} However, advancements such as ultrasound-guidance have helped to decrease block failure rates by more than 50%, including decreasing the risk of conversion to general anesthesia.¹⁰

The risks of neurologic injury, while reduced, also continue to impact the field of regional anesthesia. While innovations in imaging and needle guidance have improved many outcomes, long-term neurological injury still occurs at a rate of 2 to 4 in 10,000 blocks.^{9,14,15} Despite the ability to visualize nerves and fascicles (and thus avoid direct contact) with ultrasound-guidance, nerve injury can still occur. This may be due to a host of elements (Table 1), many of which may never be fully eliminated. It is plausible that the mere injection of local anesthetic (itself a neurotoxic substance) near nerves in patients who are susceptible to nerve injury may lead to long-term neurological dysfunction. However, utilizing short-beveled needles, appropriately dosing local anesthetics, visualizing nerves directly with ultrasound, injection pressure monitoring, and appropriate patient counseling can help to further reduce the incidence of an already-rare event.

EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

3D and 4D Imaging

The future of regional anesthesia lies in cutting-edge imaging technologies such as 3D and 4D ultrasound. While traditional 2D ultrasound provides a flat, cross-sectional view, 3D imaging reconstructs anatomical structures in three dimensions, offering a more comprehensive view of the target area. 4D imaging adds the dimension of time, enabling real-time visualization of moving structures such as blood vessels and nerves.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

Advanced imaging may further enhance the precision and safety of regional anesthesia by providing increasing anatomical detail. As these technologies become more widely available, they are likely to set new standards and potentially reduce the learning curve for complex nerve blocks and other regional anesthesia procedures.

Needle Guidance Technology and Pressure Injection Monitoring

Needle guidance systems integrated with ultrasound machines could provide real-time feedback on needle trajectory. These systems use electromagnetic or optical tracking to ensure that the needle remains on course, reducing the risk of inadvertent puncture or

Table 1: Components of Nerve Injury.^{9,10,14,16}

Host Factors	Causative Agents	Environmental Factors
Pre-existing Neuropathy: diabetic neuropathy, peripheral vascular disease, chemotherapy-induced neuropathy, neurologic diseases (multiple sclerosis, lupus, etc.)	Needle Trauma: presence of paresthesia during procedure; bevel shape (short vs long)	Ultrasound versus Nerve Stimulation: no difference in neurologic complications, but improved efficacy and decreased failure rates seen with ultrasound use
Surgical Elements: trauma surgery, prolonged tourniquet time, high levels of neural stretch, and surgical type	Pressure Injury: higher injection pressures may indicate intraneural needle	Injection Pressure Monitoring: measuring pressure may decrease risk of intrafascicular injection

misplacement. By enhancing control and accuracy, needle guidance technology has the potential to make regional anesthesia safer and more accessible.²⁰

Pressure injection monitoring is another innovation designed to improve safety. This technology monitors the pressure exerted during the injection of local anesthetic, providing an early warning if the needle tip is in an incorrect location (e.g., intraneural or intravascular). High injection pressures are associated with an increased risk of nerve injury, and pressure monitoring allows practitioners to adjust their technique in real time to avoid complications.²¹

Additional avenues of research

The future of patient safety in regional anesthesia is bright, with ongoing research and innovation aimed at further reducing risks and improving outcomes. Further promising areas of development include the following:

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** AI algorithms are being developed to assist with ultrasound interpretation, needle trajectory planning, and complication prediction. By analyzing vast datasets, AI could provide personalized recommendations for each patient, optimizing safety and efficacy.²²
- **Wearable Sensors:** Devices that monitor patient physiology in real time could provide early warnings of complications such as LAST or nerve injury, allowing for prompt intervention.²³
- **Simulation Training:** High-fidelity simulation technology enhances the training of anesthesia professionals, allowing them to practice complex blocks in a risk-free environment. Simulation-based education is likely to play an important role in ensuring competency and minimizing errors.²⁵

CONCLUSION

The evolution of patient safety in regional anesthesia has been nothing short of remarkable. From the early days of blind techniques and rudimentary safety measures to the modern era of real-time ultrasound-guidance, intralipid therapy, and advanced imaging, the field has made tremendous strides. Each innovation has brought us closer to the ideal of a safe, effective, and patient-centered practice.

As we look to the future, the integration of emerging technologies such as 3D/4D imaging, AI, and needle guidance systems promises to further enhance safety and precision. By continuing to prioritize patient safety and embracing innovation, regional anesthesia will continue to remain an important subset of the field of anesthesiology.

Vikram Bansal, MD, is an associate professor of clinical anesthesiology at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN.

Nicholas Statzer, MD, is an assistant professor of anesthesiology at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN.

Danial Shams, MD, is an assistant professor of anesthesiology at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN.

The authors have no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES:

1. Goerig M, Bacon D, Zundert A van, Carl Koller, cocaine, and local anesthesia: some less known and forgotten facts. *Reg Anesthesia Pain Med.* 2012;37:318–324. PMID: 22531385.
2. Kimachi PP, Matsumoto M, Martinez RCR. Regional anesthesia overview: historical aspects, dissemination, and safety. *Perioper Anesthesia Rep.* 2023;1:e00042. PMID: 38836082.
3. Labat G, Mayo WJ. Regional anesthesia: its technic and clinical application [Internet]. Philadelphia and London : W. B. Saunders company; 1922. Available from: <https://archive.org/details/regionalanesthes00laba/page/2/mode/2up>
4. Kwofie K, Uppal V. Wrong-site nerve blocks: evidence-review and prevention strategies. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2020;33:698–703. PMID: 32826627.

See “Regional Anesthesia,” Next Page

From “Regional Anesthesia,” Preceding Page

5. Mulroy MF, Weller RS, Liguori GA. A checklist for performing regional nerve blocks. *Reg Anesthesia Pain Med.* 2014;39:195–199. PMID: [24718017](#).
6. Neal JM. Ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia and patient safety. *Reg Anesthesia Pain Med.* 2016;41:195–204. PMID: [26695877](#).
7. Neal JM, Neal EJ, Weinberg GL. American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine local anesthetic systemic toxicity checklist: 2020 version. *Reg Anesthesia Pain Med.* 2021;46:81–82. PMID: [33148630](#).
8. Neal JM, Barrington MJ, Fettiplace MR, et al. The Third American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine Practice Advisory on Local Anesthetic Systemic Toxicity. *Reg Anesthesia Pain Med.* 2018;43:113–123. PMID: [29356773](#).
9. Finucane BT, Tsui BCH. Complications of regional anesthesia. Springer Nature. 2017.
10. Abrahams MS, Aziz MF, Fu RF, Horn JL. Ultrasound guidance compared with electrical neurostimulation for peripheral nerve block: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Anaesth.* 2009;102:408–417. PMID: [19174373](#).
11. Hudson ME, Chelly JE, Lichter JR. Wrong-site nerve blocks: 10 yr experience in a large multihospital health-care system*. *Br J Anaesth.* 2015;114:818–824. PMID: [25744001](#).
12. Cotter JT, Nielsen KC, Guller U, et al. Increased body mass index and ASA physical status IV are risk factors for block failure in ambulatory surgery—an analysis of 9,342 blocks. *Can J Anesthesia.* 2004;51:810–816. PMID: [15470170](#).
13. Picard L, Belnou P, Debes C, et al. Impact of regional block failure in ambulatory hand surgery on patient management: a cohort study. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9:2453. PMID: [32751880](#).
14. Fredrickson MJ, Kilfoyle DH. Neurological complication analysis of 1000 ultrasound guided peripheral nerve blocks for elective orthopaedic surgery: a prospective study. *Anaesthesia.* 2009;64:836–844. PMID: [19604186](#).
15. Walker BJ, Long JB, Sathyamoorthy M, et al. Complications in pediatric regional anesthesia: an analysis of more than 100,000 blocks from the pediatric regional anesthesia network. *Anesthesiology.* 2018;129:721–732. PMID: [30074928](#).
16. Clendenen NJ, Robards CB, Clendenen SR. A standardized method for 4D ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve blockade and catheter placement. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:920538. PMID: [24575416](#).
17. Choquet O, Capdevila, X. Three-dimensional high-resolution ultrasound-guided nerve blocks: a new panoramic vision of local anesthetic spread and perineural catheter tip location. *Anesth Analg.* 2013;116:1176–1181. PMID: [23492963](#).
18. Ramanujam V, Tian L, Chow C, Kendall M C. Three-dimensional imaging of commonly performed peripheral blocks: using a handheld point-of-care ultrasound system. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2023;13(2):e134797. PMID: [37529139](#).
19. Clendenen S, Riutort K, Ladlie, BL, et al. Real-time three-dimensional ultrasound-assisted axillary plexus block defines soft tissue planes. *Anesth Analg.* 2009;108:1347–1350. PMID: [19299811](#).
20. Kåsine T, Romundstad L, Rosseland LA, et al. Needle tip tracking for ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve block procedures—an observer blinded, randomised, controlled, crossover study on a phantom model. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2019;63:1055–1062. PMID: [31037724](#).
21. Hadzic A, Dilberovic F, Shah S, et al. Combination of intraneural injection and high injection pressure leads to fascicular injury and neurologic deficits in dogs. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2004;29:417–423. PMID: [15372385](#).
22. Bowness JS, El-Boghdady K, Woodworth G, et al. Exploring the utility of assistive artificial intelligence for ultrasound scanning in regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2022;47:375–379. PMID: [35091395](#).
23. Chu T, Xin Y, Zhou S, Xu A. Perfusion index for early identification of regional anesthesia effectiveness: a narrative review. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2023;89:671–679. PMID: [36799293](#).
24. Ashokka B, Law LS, Areti A, et al. Educational outcomes of simulation-based training in regional anaesthesia: a scoping review. *Br J Anaesth.* 2025;134:523–534. PMID: [39358185](#).